Received: 14 Dec 24 | Revised: 27 Dec 24 | Accepted: 09 Jan 25
DOI: https://doi.org/10.62460/TBPS/2025.076

REVIEW ARTICLE

Trends in Biotechnology and Plant Sciences

Differential Display PCR for Gene Expression: An Overview

Abdul Hafeez Laghari

Department of Agronomy, Sindh Agriculture University Sub-campus Umerkot 69100, Sindh Pakistan

Correspondence
ahlaghari@sau.edu.pk

Abstract
Differential display (DDRT-PCR) is a robust method for inspecting differences in the expression of genes. The main processes in DDRT-PCR are as follows: cDNA synthesis from mRNAs, PCR amplification of cDNAs, whole RNA separation and purification, PCR results are portrayed, the differentially expressed PCR products are replicated and modified, the tested clones are sequenced, and finally cDNA libraries are screened to identify the desired genes. Many modifications have been made to the approach since it was first developed in 1992 in order to improve it and, most importantly, reduce the major issue of "false positives." Because one of the main challenges in molecular biology understands the distinct gene expression patterns that monitor stress and developmental responses, DDRT-PCR has become a universal molecular procedure during the past ten years.

KEYWORDS
differentia expression, PCR, qRT-PCR, mRNA gene cloning.

1 | INTRODUCTION

Differential display which is a powerful technique like DDRT-PCR or DD-PCR, allows scientists to classify differences in gene expression between two sets of eukaryotic cells at the mRNA level. This method involves amplifying and visualizing an extensive portion of the cell's mRNA using anchored oligo-dT primers and a small set of short random primers. By comparing samples with varying conditions, researchers can identify genes specifically affected by these conditions. This technique has proven invaluable in detecting patterns of gene expression and identifying the underlying causes of pragmatic changes. Simplified methods like fluorescent DD systems and radioactive labeling have improved the precision and reliability of DD-PCR which makes it a valuable tool for gene expression analysis. Differential display is a modified PCR technique intended to amplify a varied range of DNA fragments instead of targeting a single specific sequence. This condensed specificity is attained through the use of random primers at one end of the amplification method. A pool of all possible hexamers is employed which results in a total of 4096 different primers. This broad primer selection allows the amplification of many DNA molecules (Diagnostics, 2011).

Differential display could be an important device in recognizing genomic sequences of novel, arising infections. By dissecting the quality articulation examples of infected and uninfected people, it very well may be doable to pinpoint have qualities impacted by disease as well as viral hereditary material. Hypothetically, quality enhancement happens in both contaminated and uninfected examples, with varieties in band power reflecting disease prompted changes. Interestingly, popular qualities ought to be only intensified from infected people (Lu et al., 2004).

DD has been applied widely since its most notable report and keeps on being a famous invention. Throughout recent years alone, in excess of 1000 exploration articles have been distributed on this policy. These inspections have combined the utilization of DD to show many novel qualities i.e. important bits of knowledge with respect to cell reactions under differed conditions like intense pressure or persistent infection and the recognizable proof of specific mRNAs and their parent qualities as possible analytic and helpful clinical targets (Hua et al., 2001).

According to (Crawford et al., 2018), to widely investigate all mRNAs in a cell, 240 different DD primer combinations were hypothetically prophesied. Though, they analytically tested this by using a meaningfully higher number of primer combinations to evaluate 90% of the mRNAs. Although they effectively identified five hydrogen peroxide-induced mRNAs in HA-1 hamster

cells, five other known oxidant-inducible mRNAs remained unobserved. Moreover, filter microarray hybridization failed to identify any additional mRNAs with twofold or greater induction, despite two-dimensional protein gel electrophoresis formerly revealing 15 induced protein species. These results suggest that the existing statistical prophecy for comprehensive mRNA analysis using DD is inaccurate. Though DD is a valuable tool but results validate its limits in providing a fully inclusive assessment of deviations in mRNA levels (Crawford et al., 2018).

The observation of unique positive clones potentially related to the same gene recommends a lower screening efficiency of the current method. This suggests the requirement for a higher number of primer combinations than formerly anticipated to comprehensively cover the transcriptome. The appropriate number of primer combinations varies significantly depending on factors such as 3' anchored and 5' arbitrary primer designs and the employed cycle program. Reducing artefact band count and enhancing the sensitivity of the differential display or expression method. Alternative primer designs, having precise and longer arbitrary primers have been proposed, often in conjunction with cycle software modification (Graf et al., 1997).

Due to the issues of redundancy and false positives, differential display appears less attractive than initially thought. One of the primary advantages of the method is undermined by the downstream verification process, which is both labor-intensive and demands large amounts of RNA. To address the need for large-scale screening of potential cDNA fragments using minimal RNA, several innovative techniques have been developed, such as reverse Northern blotting combined with Southern blotting. The intrinsic design of the differential display technology presents an extra difficulty. Normally, the cDNA fragments derived from differential display are brief, ranging from 100 to 500 base pairs, and they align with the 3′ end of the gene, which normally signifies the 3′ untranslated region. Hence, a significant section of the coding region is frequently not covered by these fragments. Meaningful sequence homology, which is essential for gene identification and functional prediction, can only be obtained via labor-intensive full-length cDNA screening until a model system with extensive sequence information is accessible in public databases. Long-distance PCR has recently been included into the differential display protocol as a solution to this problem. Bands up to 2 kb can be created and exhibited by employing longer primers, greater dNTP concentrations, hot-PCR at increased stringency, and thermostable enzyme combinations (Diatchenko et al., 1999). Comparably, band patterns of cDNAs spanning from 150 bp to 2 kb were created by long-distance differential display or expression PCR (Jurecic et al., 1998). Reduced redundancy, fewer artefact bands, and the discovery of both abundant and unusual transcripts were further outcomes of protocol improvement.

In 1986, Mullis and his colleagues developed PCR, a pioneering method that qualifies the artificial amplification of specific DNA orders in a laboratory setting (Chen et al., 2018). The advent of Real time PCR (qPCR) technique in 1993 reformed the field of molecular biology by empowering the exact measurement of nucleic acid molecules (Park et al., 2021). Fluorescent probes empower the conception of the nucleic acid amplification process in real-time. This allows for a quantitative link to be recognized between the strength of the fluorescent signal and the quantity of amplified nucleic acid. Building upon the principles of qPCR, the perception of a digital assay has appeared. This led to the progress of digital PCR (dPCR), representing a new addition to PCR technology (Gines et al., 2020).

2.1 Beyond Differential Display

Following the introduction of differential display, PCR-based techniques were further developed. Several techniques sought to create novel gel profiles in order to differentiate throughout several mRNA samples. For amplification, primers matching formerly ligated adaptors were employed after restriction enzyme digestion. Additional selective nucleotides were added to the primer 3′ ends in order to further narrow the subset of cDNA fragments to be exhibited, similar to cDNA-amplified fragment length polymorphism (cDNA-AFLP) (Huang et al., 2018).

The kinetics of gene expression printed by way of cDNA–AFLP have been just like those of northern blot assessment, rendering the displayed expression pattern quantitative. Different extra subtle methods comprise a choice step to come to be with just targeted adaptor design was used in the limit enzyme analysis of differentially expressed sequences to achieve one restriction fragment per mRNA. Redundancy was effectively eliminated by ensuring that only the most 3′ restriction pieces were amplified. In other two techniques, biotinylated oligo (dT) primers were used to select the 3′ end. Amplification took place after the second restriction digestion in certain variations of these techniques. There are also a number of additional methods that combine gel electrophoresis, PCR, and restriction digestion.

The traditional method for constructing and screening cDNA libraries has been refined through various approaches. One such method, Representational difference analysis (RDA) combines the strength of PCR amplification with the advantages of subtractive hybridization. Another method, suppression subtractive hybridization, merges normalization and subtraction into a single step (Bugni

& Drinkwater, 2003)

As such, effectively managing and analysing the enorrmous volume of data produced by these methods presents the main challenge. Experts have also voiced concerns regarding the possibility of technological misuse. Even with years of experience, microarray technology is continually advancing. Because so many genes are analysed at once, there is a considerable chance that researchers will use it without fully understanding the possibility of human error. It has recently been discovered that even "sequence-verified" cDNA clones that are sold commercially have significant error rates (Yeh et al., 2004).

Microarrays undoubtedly facilitate high-throughput screening, but their effectiveness depends on the quality of the arrayed sequences. The straightforward method of sequencing authentic cDNA pools, which are not specific to a particular cell type, seems less practical given the vast number of mRNAs present in a cell except in well-studied model organisms like “Arabidopsis thaliana”. To improve the efficiency of microarrays for detecting differentially expressed genes, cDNA pools can be enriched in various ways before analysis. Previous successes have been achieved by combining microarrays with techniques such as RDA (Representational Difference Analysis), SSH (Suppression Subtractive Hybridization), or differential display (“Suppression Subtractive Hybridization in Penaeid Prawns,” 2018). Genome-wide investigations of gene expression are anticipated to be dominated by large-scale sequencing and microarray analysis. Open-ended methods like as differential display and cDNA-AFLP (Gatlin et al., 2024).

2.2 Polymerase Chain Reaction (PCR)

Polymerase Chain Reaction (PCR) is a laboratory technique that is used to intensify specific segments of DNA. It includes cooling and heating cycles to denature, anneal, and extend DNA strands. PCR uses a heat stable DNA polymerase i.e. Taq polymerase which is isolated from the bacterium “Thermus aquaticu**s”** (Lorenz, 2012). Kary B. Mullis presented the notable PCR procedure in 1985, an achievement that later secured him the Nobel Prize in Science. In PCR, where DNA is over and over denatured and renatured, Taq polymerase is inclined toward because of its intensity security. This takes into account consistent DNA combination even after openness to the high temperatures expected for preliminary strengthening and DNA strand separation (Ghannam & Varacallo, 2023).

Real-time PCR, reverse-transcriptase quantitative PCR (RT-qPCR), and digital PCR (dPCR) are major techniques used to quantify the amount of target DNA or RNA. Whereas real-time PCR and RT-qPCR offer relative quantification. dPCR offers absolute quantification which makes it extremely delicate for detecting rare alleles and performing copy number analyses (Sathyanarayana & Wainman, 2024).

Inverse PCR is a technique that is used amplify a DNA region when only a slight portion of its sequence is known. This method includes circularizing the DNA fragment and then using primers that strengthen within the known region but point outwards. This "inside-out" method allows for the amplification of the flanking sequences which can be valuable for classifying the insertion site of a transposon within a bacterial chromosome (Figueroa-Bossi et al., 2024).

Digital PCR (dPCR) is a nucleic acid quantification practice that gives high sensitivity and precision. Chamber-based digital PCR (cdPCR) and droplet-based digital PCR (ddPCR) are two main digital PCR (Hindson et al., 2013), (Galimberti et al., 2022). PCR amplification occurs within these isolated units. By examining the proportion of chambers/droplets gives positive fluorescence signal, initial sample concentration can be determined. Unlike traditional PCR, dPCR removes the dependency on standard curves, permitting absolute quantification. This expertise surpasses conventional approaches in terms of sensitivity and precision which makes it a valued tool for exact analysis of nucleic acid targets (Yin et al., 2020).

PCR transformed molecular biology by qualifying the rapid amplification of specific DNA sequences. It uses specific oligonucleotide primers and a DNA polymerase to target and exponentially amplify millions of copies of the desired DNA sequence. Through unceasing progressions in methodology, instrumentation, and optimization, PCR has become a keystone of modern research, offering a consistent, reasonable, and user friendly platform for a extensive range of applications in several scientific areas (Sathyanarayana & Wainman, 2024).

2.3 Reverse Transcription Polymerase Chain Reaction (RT-PCR)

PCR is a foundation of molecular biology and medicine. Its capacity to enhance explicit DNA arrangements has changed examination and diagnostics. Quantitative PCR (qPCR) upgrades this by estimating how much objective DNA as it's being made, giving constant information. For RNA investigation, Reverse Transcription PCR (RT-PCR) is utilized to change over RNA into DNA, considering its identification and measurement. These headways have fundamentally extended our abilities in understanding and tending to natural and clinical difficulties (Jalali et al., 2017).

RT-PCR combines reverse transcription with PCR amplification. This strategy starts by involving mRNA as a layout for invert transcriptase to make cDNA. This single-abandoned cDNA then goes through PCR

amplification. By utilizing preliminaries well defined for the objective mRNA's coding areas, the response is upgraded for the ideal record. These preliminaries likewise assume a significant part in cloning the enhanced cDNA (Bachman, 2013).

It is used to detect and quantify RNA molecules, including messenger RNA (mRNA), pre-mRNA, and non-coding RNAs. The procedure starts with a primer that binds to the RNA of interest. For mRNA, common primer types include oligo(dT) (which binds to the poly(A) tail), random hexamers (which bind to various RNA sequences), or gene-specific primers designed for a particular transcript. This primer-RNA complex then serves as a template for reverse transcriptase, an enzyme that manufactures a cDNA strand from the RNA template. This cDNA can later be amplified and examined by means of PCR techniques (Rio, 2014).

mRNA differential presentation (DDRT-PCR), cDNA-AFLP and numerous variations of these two techniques are gel-put together record profiling frameworks based with respect to electrophoretic fingerprinting of enhanced cDNA parts. Both DDRT-PCR and cDNA-AFLP are open-end strategies requiring just standard instrumentation and bringing about low expenses. They have been applied in many disseminated inspections both on model and non-model life forms up to this point. The reproducibility of cDNA-AFLP designs was accounted for to be better than DDRT-PCR, yet its downside is a high part of cDNA particles receiving away from identification as a result of the absence of reasonable limitation destinations (Breyne et al., 2003).

2.4 Differential Display PCR

Differential display reverse transcription PCR (DDRT-PCR) is a PCR-based technique used for comprehensive study of gene expression alterations across various cell types. DDRT-PCR has proven to be an appreciated tool in examining various biological processes across diverse organisms. In mammalian systems, it has been effectively employed to study vital events such as cell differentiation, activation, and responses to stress. Additionally, it has aided in identifying potential drug targets. In the case of microbial and plant pathogenesis, DDRT-PCR has facilitated the identification of virulence factors, genes implicated in cell death, and key signaling molecules involved in host-pathogen connections (Colling et al., 2013; Moustafa et al., 2016).

2.5 Applications OF DDPCR

DDPCR has risen as a profoundly precise and solid method for analyzing genetic modifications in different malignant growths because of its exceptional reaction and particularity. This approach is presently being used for exact allele measurement, location of uncommon changes, assessment of duplicate number varieties, DNA methylation examination, and identification of gene rearrangements in assorted clinical samples (Olmedillas-López et al., 2017). DDPCR offers outright DNA evaluation by directly counting positive drops, empowering more reliable examinations across various trials. In addition, DDPCR shows advanced awareness, killing the requirement for pre-enhancement ventures for layouts present in very low concentrations (Hall Sedlak & Jerome, 2014).

As plasma assists as the primary source for tumor genomic profiling, liquid biopsy utilizing DDPCR is actively being explored in a diverse range of biological fluids. This comprises of cerebrospinal fluid, urine, stool, ocular fluids, sputum, saliva, bronchoalveolar lavage, pleural effusion, mucin, peritoneal fluid, fine needle aspirate, bile, and pancreatic juice (Olmedillas-López et al., 2022). This method comprises of the concentration of the target sequence. This localized concentration minimizes template competition, facilitating the detection of weak mutant signals amidst a background of wild-type sequences. Furthermore, the increased target concentration within each droplet can enhance the DDPCR reaction's resistance to inhibitors (Quan et al., 2018).

The principal of many DDPCR applications lies in its sophisticated data analysis methods. DDPCR droplet readers generate data that can be examined across four primary experimental types i.e. absolute quantification (ABS), copy number variation (CNV), rare event detection (RED), and gene expression (GEX). This flexibility in data analysis has allowed the progress of several applications since the technology's inception (Nyaruaba et al., 2019).

2.6 Techniques Adapted from DDRT-PCR

2.6.1 Use of Randomly Primed PCR for RNA Fingerprint Identification

The main distinction between DDRT-PCR and RNA fingerprinting utilising AP-PCR (RAP-PCR) is that an arbitrary oligonucleotide is used in the reverse transcription phase rather than an oligo (dT) primer. After that, the arbitrary oligonucleotide is amplified using either the same or a different one. As discovered with DDRT-PCR, the arbitrary primer generally most effective fits 6 to 8 out of 10 nucleotides on the 3′ end. RAP-PCR is often used for RNA that isn't polyadenylated, e.g., in microorganism or to reduce the amplification of 3′ untranslated regions (“(PDF) Arbitrarily Primed PCR Fingerprinting of RNA,” 2024), (Niessen et al., 2019).

RNA fingerprinting, a PCR-based strategy, is a significant apparatus for distinguishing differentially communicated qualities in different organic cycles like neoplasia, separation, and improvement. Unlike differential presentation techniques that attention on 3' non-coding cDNA, RNA fingerprinting can target coding areas of qualities. To assurance its far reaching

significance and work with a comprehensive study of differential quality articulation, RNA fingerprinting requires standardization and the familiar proof of a board of exceptionally effective and specific unpredictable preliminaries. The obstacles of RAP-PCR are that each the primer suit and the abundance of every RNA dictate the display of a product. A considerable RNA will endure more amplifications with primers with three to 4 mismatches than will RNA with no mismatches. Even as this also occurs in DDRT-PCR, it is accentuated in RAP-PCR by means of two arbitrary primers (Huang et al., 2015). Additionally, it is extra long-established that one RNA species might be displayed greater than as soon as, Fewer bands are usually produced per primer pair as each end is predicated on an arbitrary sequence, rather than a poly(A) tail, necessitating the need for extra reactions. RAP-PCR, comparing transcripts between various cell groups can be done using techniques like DDRT-PCR or populations that are exposed to distinct treatments. In this case, RNA was analyzed at different times after lung epithelial cells were either treated or not treated with transforming growth factor β (TGF-β), which stops cells in the late G1 phase. Furthermore, cycloheximide-treated cells were examined in order to prevent protein production (Dai et al., 2013).

2.6.2 Usage of Selected Primers for DDRT-PCR

Using specific primers (SPR) in DDRT-PCR increases the likelihood of identifying medium-to low-abundance mRNAs. Unlike DDRT-PCR and RAP-PCR, SPR uses empirical methods to choose its arbitrary primers, which lessens the amount of highly abundant transcripts amplified. To solve this issue, four methods have been used: (i) Primers are made with a 50% G+C content overall, but less than 50% at the 3′ end, to reduce the amplification of exceptionally abundant rRNA and mitochondrial RNA." ii). While producing fewer bands in every PCR cycle, high annealing temperatures (50°C) increase selectivity and reproducibility. (iii) The basis for band selection was the amplification by both primers, since very abundant transcripts are often preferentially primed by one primer. This was achieved by comparing the PCR results that were obtained using the 3′ primer alone and those that were obtained using both primers. (iv) To determine differential expression, quantitative RT-PCR was utilized because Northern blot examination may overlook low-abundance mRNAs (Moustafa et al., 2016).

2.6.3 Targeted Display

Specific display is a useful modification of DDRT-PCR., which makes it possible for the identification of individuals of a gene family, genes with specified domains. According to various circumstances, this method makes it possible to isolate sequence motifs. Anchor primers are used for reverse transcription (RT), while degenerate primers corresponding to conserved protein domains are used for PCR.

The zinc finger motif was present in all 12 segments that they cloned and sequenced. Of these, a poly(A) tail was present in 10 of the 12 samples, but PCR artefacts caused alterations or truncations in the other. Gene families are typically expanded by screening cDNA libraries using degenerate oligonucleotides to find new members. For this aim, two degenerate sequences have been used in PCR experiments more recently. This strategy is applied in DDRT-PCR to evaluate variations in gene family expression amongst cells or in response to external stimuli. Nevertheless, this approach has certain drawbacks. A complex pattern cannot be created if the 5′ primer is excessively degenerate. ii) The target domain must be situated in close proximity to the poly(A) tail. iii) 100% homology between the 5′ primer and the target domain is required. Certain sequence motifs, including as AU-rich sequences and CAG repeat sequences, have also been targeted by DDRT-PCR. Long regions of CAG repeats are commonly found in DNA linked to neurological diseases. In this particular setting, genomic DNA amplified using bioavidin-(CAG) was able to successfully discriminate DNA from monozygotic twins and from alleles causing Huntington's disease (Ciesiolka et al., 2021).

2.6.4 Displaying Ordered Differential (READS)

Dinucleotide oligos (dTs) with a 20-base tail are used in reverse transcription (RT) to guarantee that all cDNAs have a common 3′ end. After being digested by a restriction enzyme, these cDNAs are ligated to a Y-shaped adaptor. The restriction enzyme-matching 3′ overlap, the complementary center region, and the non-complementary 5′ end comprise the three parts of the Y-shaped adapter. During the amplification phase, the 3′ primer binds to the tail of the Y adaptor and the 5′ primer attaches to the top branch. As a result, only the 3′ ends of restriction-digested cDNA are amplified in regulated circumstances. The length of the cDNAs created by READS depends on the specific restriction enzyme used to digest the cDNA, in contrast to DDRT-PCR, which employs any primer. As a result, employing particular restriction enzymes, cDNA generated from the total RNA of a cell population can be methodically resolved into a set of 3′-end restriction fragments. One can obtain a complete representation of the mammalian genome by using twelve 6-base restriction enzymes to digest the cDNA (Rehan, 2020).

2.7 Some other Potential Applications

Many biological topics have been addressed using DDRT-PCR in both non-fungal and mammalian settings. Clinical mycology has comparable biological difficulties, nevertheless. A great deal of the methods

created for use in mammalian systems are readily transferred to fungal systems. An overview of DDRT-PCR applications is given below, albeit it is not all-inclusive. This review is not intended to provide a thorough discussion of mammalian trials (Liu et al., 2022).

2.8 DDRT-PCR AND cDNA-AFLP

DDRT-PCR and cDNA-AFLP are gel-based record profiling strategies that use electrophoretic fingerprinting of improved cDNA sections to examine quality articulation. These strategies are supposed to open-end as they require inconsequential specific gear and are somewhat modest, making them sensible for different living beings, incorporating those with restricted genomic data. While the two procedures have been broadly utilized in research, cDNA-AFLP for the most part shows higher reproducibility contrasted with DDRT-PCR. Nevertheless, cDNA-AFLP experiences a huge limit, a significant part of cDNA particles might stay undetected because of the loss of proper limitation compound acknowledgment locations inside their successions (Breyne et al., 2003).

2.9 Cell Differentiation

Finding genes regulated during processes like distinction or advancement, such as haematopoiesis neuronal cell differentiation, senescence, and adipocyte differentiation, analysis of gene expression among cell populations are common uses of DDRT-PCR. Generally, utilizing DDRT-PCR, cell populations at different developmental stages are compared. The benefit of DDRT-PCR is its capacity to detect alterations over several developmental phases or distinct cell populations going through comparable processes of differentiation might be contrasted. It is simple to modify these techniques for use with clinically significant fungus. For instance, it is possible to compare RNA extracted during morphogenesis or various morphogenetic pathways (Sturtevant, 2000).

2.10 Cell Cycle and Life Stages

DDRT-PCR is a useful tool for studying cell and life cycles since it may be used to find genes that start disease, allow cells or organisms to adapt to varied environments, and identify possible targets for antifungals. For example, Plasmodium falciparum's midgut-specific saprogenic cycle and Trypanosoma brucei life cycle have both been investigated with DDRT-PCR (Ouologuem et al., 2023).

Three lifestyles' levels of T. Brucei had been in comparison, and 22 DD merchandise were analyzed via SSCP; thirteen have been analyzed additional and it was established that four of these had differential expression. This strategy was validated by the identification of a single gene, ESAG1, which was found to be precise in terms of stage (*Screening of Differentially Amplified cDNA Products from RNA Arbitrarily Primed PCR Fingerprints Using Single Strand Conformation Polymorphism (SSCP) Gels | Nucleic Acids Research | Oxford Academic*, n.d.) .

Previously, Saccharomyces cerevisiae was utilized to study meiosis using DDRT-PCR. Comparative analysis was done on RNAs extracted from both vegetative and sporulation cells. Meiosis-specific genes have been found, a few of which are genes previously known to be activated during the meiotic phase. Because S. Cerevisiae is haploid and there are many mutants available, the function of the gene found by DDRT-PCR may be more easily characterized. In this example, the DDRT-PCR-identified gene SSP1 (Paulissen et al., 2016).

Certain microbes have circadian cycles, such as Neurospora cassia. The molecular processes that control this cycle will remain poorly understood. To gain a better understanding of the mechanisms underlying the circadian clock, Besharse and inexperienced researchers simultaneously examined eight temporal aspects that safeguarded two cycles in Xenopus retinal cells. Fungi can also be treated with this method. Since many time points may be examined simultaneously with DDRT-PCR, more selected time points can be taught in N. Crassa than can be accepted by subtractive hybridization, which was previously used to teach circadian rhythms in Neurospora (Molecular Cloning of Genes Under Control of the Circadian Clock in Neurospora | Science, n.d.), (Baker et al., 2012).

2.11 Markers and Mutations

Identification of cellular surface or diagnostic markers, such as those unique to cells, tissues, or pathogens, is a noteworthy use of DDRT-PCR. Prostate cancer metastatic genetic markers, rhabdomyosarcoma probes, a species-specific marker for Mycoplasma fermentans, fibroblast cell surface markers (Isolation of a Gene Product Expressed by a Subpopulation of Human Lung Fibroblasts by Differential Display | American Journal of Respiratory Cell and Molecular Biology, n.d.), (Pressey et al., 2013).

Being an organism that cannot be cultivated the study employed DDRT-PCR to examine T-cell lymphoma cell lines that were infected with Mycoplasma fermentans 609 as well as those that were not. A prokaryotic homologue of tubulin, ftsZ, was cloned and is one of the most expressed products. The development of primers led to the creation of a PCR assay that is species-specific. This work showed that species differentiation and microbe marker identification are both possible with DDRT-PCR. This technique's applicability to clinical mycology is especially pertinent, considering the need for better aspergillosis and candidiasis diagnostic techniques.

Mutations can be found using DDRT-PCR, much like cell-specific markers. On sequencing gels, PCR results may be compared side by side, making it simple to spot even minute sequence variations. Using DDRT-PCR, fibroblasts from patients with Fanconi anemia were compared to those from healthy individuals in order to search for genes involved in DNA repair.

Deletions were detected by DD in the human α-tropomyosin (TPM1) gene's 3′ UTR. These deletions, which ranged in length from five to eleven base pairs, happened in a tandem repeat area that is known to regulate tumor suppression and cell growth in transformed cells. It's possible that these deletions have an impact on the stability of mRNA (Scudiero et al., 2021).

The DDRT-PCR technique offers an unbelievable asset for recognizing mRNA changes with uncommon precision in single cells. This procedure brags ultrahigh explicitness, allowing the ID of even moment levels of changed mRNA (as low as 0.01%) in the midst of a significant foundation of wild-type mRNA. This amazing single-particle responsiveness and explicitness make ddRT-PCR intensely encouraging for examining cell heterogeneity at the single-cell level. Besides, it has serious potential for the precise measurement of freak mRNAs in complex natural liquids like plasma or serum, making it an significant source for fluid biopsy applications (Sun et al., 2018).

2.12 Drug Resistance and Pursuits for Medications

Finding novel therapeutic targets and comprehending the mechanisms underlying drug toxicity or resistance are two useful applications of DDRT-PCR. In clinical mycology, this is especially pertinent. A method that has been proposed includes drug treatment of both resistant and sensitive cells, followed by DDRT-PCR analysis. To shed light on the reasons behind a cell's susceptibility or resistance to a drug's effect, the distinctions between resistant and sensitive cells will also be examined in the absence of the medication (Sturtevant, 2000).

Drug effects can also be investigated in vivo by giving the medication to animals and observing any changes in target tissue expression. By administering medication to fungal cells and examining differential expression, this method can be modified for use with fungi. The comparison between sensitive and resistant strains would be similar. Finding differential display products in these investigations may provide information about possible treatment targets and medication actions (Matis et al., 2023).

2.13 Nutritional and Environmental Stress

A useful method for determining the consequences of a particular nutrient's absence is DDRT-PCR. Usually, to do this, tissues from animals that are nutrient-fed, such as those lacking in copper or lithium, are compared to those from animals that have nutrient shortages. As an alternative, it can be applied to compare two distinct strains, such genetically modified vs natural mice. It is easy to use these methods on fungus by comparing strains that necessitate a given nutrient for growth or by researching regarding the effects of nutrients on the fungal mobile. For instance, DDRT-PCR might be used to identify genes involved in metabolic and biosynthetic pathways or identify other genes that had been modified in auxotroph formed through random mutagenesis (Wang et al., 2019).

Using DDRT-PCR, stress responses have been studied in C. elegans and S. cerevisiae. Usually, the observations acquired using mutant strains are the main focus of these studies. For instance, studies on parquet-sensitive mutants showed that parquet inhibits C. elegans development at a pace inversely related to the organism's longevity, suggesting that oxidative stress plays a part in the ageing process. As a result, genes that were differentially expressed during the oxidative stress caused by paraquat were found using DDRT-PCR. Upregulation of zinc finger proteins, a new protein with no known homology, and cDNA products related to elimination of enzymes like glutathione S-transferase was observed throughout the larval stage. It is true that DDRT-PCR can be used to identify proteins involved in oxidative stress defense within cells, which is an essential function for all cells and efficient identification of differentially expressed genes under salinity conditions (Singh & Ali, 2016).

2.14 Low-Abundance Samples and In Vivo-Expressed Genes

The study introduces DMS/SHAPE-LMPCR, a highly sensitive method for probing the in vivo structures of low-abundance RNAs, achieving a 100,000-fold improvement over traditional approaches. Using this method, the researchers mapped the structure of U12 snRNA in Arabidopsis thaliana, revealing differences in structural features compared to mammals. Additionally, they demonstrated how proteins influence the structures of 25S rRNA, 5.8S rRNA, and U12 snRNA. This universally applicable technique enables the exploration of structure-function relationships in diverse, low-abundance RNAs within living cells (Kwok et al., 2013). mRNA availability is typically constrained by limited cell availability. Similarly, to overcome this difficulty, a number of labs have created variations on the traditional DDRT-PCR method. This ddRT-PCR technique has considerable potential for researching cellular heterogeneity at the single cell level and for accurately quantifying mutant mRNAs in complicated plasma or serum for liquid biopsy due to its exceptional single-molecule level sensitivity and ultrahigh specificity (Sun et al., 2018).

3.0 Conclusion

This is an oldest method for transcript expression analysis. The simplest in terms of technical and technological requirements among transcript expression methods. It does not require expressed sequence tags (ESTs), cDNA libraries, or any prior knowledge of the genome. This is an open-ended technology. However, the most effective part of the transcripts can be analyzed in a single answer. It is no longer highly quantitative; it will also be sensitive. It is prone to false positive and it is not easily digital or scaled up. More recent methods such as microarray and RNA sequencing, have mainly replaced differential display due to their higher compassion, specificity, and output. Nevertheless, differential display can still be a beneficial tool for certain applications, such as classifying novel genes in organisms with partial genomic data.

Funding: This study was not supported by any public, commercial, or non-profit funding agency.

Conflicts of Interest: The authors confirm no conflicts of interest.

Authors' Contribution: AH designed the study, conducted the literature review, drafted and structured the manuscript, critically revised the content, and approved the final version.

Generative AI Statements: The authors declare that this manuscript has been written without the use of generative artificial intelligence tools.

Publisher's Note: The content of this article reflects solely the views of the authors and does not necessarily represent the perspectives of their affiliated organizations, the publisher, the editors, or the reviewers. No products or claims discussed are authorized or guaranteed by the publisher.

REFERENCES

Bachman, J. (2013). Chapter Two—Reverse-Transcription PCR (RT-PCR). In J. Lorsch (Ed.), *Methods in Enzymology* (Vol. 530, pp. 67–74). Academic Press. https://doi.org/10.1016/B978-0-12-420037-1.00002-6

Baker, C. L., Loros, J. J., & Dunlap, J. C. (2012). The circadian clock of Neurospora crassa. *FEMS Microbiology Reviews*, *36*(1), 95–110. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1574-6976.2011.00288.x

Breyne, P., Dreesen, R., Cannoot, B., Rombaut, D., Vandepoele, K., Rombauts, S., Vanderhaeghen, R., Inzé, D., & Zabeau, M. (2003). Quantitative cDNA-AFLP analysis for genome-wide expression studies. *Molecular Genetics and Genomics*, *269*(2), 173–179. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00438-003-0830-6

Bugni, J.M., Drinkwater, N.R. (2003). Representational Difference Analysis of Gene Expression. In: El-Deiry, W.S. (eds) Tumor Suppressor Genes. Methods in Molecular Biology™, vol 222. Humana Press, Totowa, NJ. https://doi.org/10.1385/1-59259-328-3:385

Chen, I.-J., Wu, T., & Hu, S. (2018). A hand-held, power-free microfluidic device for monodisperse droplet generation. *MethodsX*, *5*, 984–990. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.mex.2018.08.008

Ciesiolka, A., Stroynowska-Czerwinska, A., Joachimiak, P., Ciolak, A., Kozlowska, E., Michalak, M., Dabrowska, M., Olejniczak, M., Raczynska, K. D., Zielinska, D., Wozna-Wysocka, M., Krzyzosiak, W. J., & Fiszer, A. (2021). Artificial miRNAs targeting CAG repeat expansion in ORFs cause rapid deadenylation and translation inhibition of mutant transcripts. *Cellular and Molecular Life Sciences: CMLS*, *78*(4), 1577–1596. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00018-020-03596-7

Colling, J., Pollier, J., Makunga, N. P., & Goossens, A. (2013). cDNA-AFLP-based transcript profiling for genome-wide expression analysis of jasmonate-treated plants and plant cultures. *Methods in Molecular Biology (Clifton, N.J.)*, *1011*, 287–303. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-1-62703-414-2_23

Crawford, D. R., Kochheiser, J. C., Schools, G. P., Salmon, S. L., & Davies, K. J. A. (2018). Differential Display: A Critical Analysis. *Gene Expression*, *10*(3), 101–107.

Dai, C.-L., Shi, J., Chen, Y., Iqbal, K., Liu, F., & Gong, C.-X. (2013). Inhibition of Protein Synthesis Alters Protein Degradation through Activation of Protein Kinase B (AKT). *The Journal of Biological Chemistry*, *288*(33), 23875–23883. https://doi.org/10.1074/jbc.M112.445148

Diagnostics, N. (2011). Differential Display. *National Diagnostics*. https://www.nationaldiagnostics.com/2011/08/17/differential-display/

Diatchenko, L., Lukyanov, S., Lau, Y.-F. C., & Siebert, P. D. (1999). [20] Suppression subtractive hybridization: A versatile method for identifying differentially expressed genes. In *Methods in Enzymology* (Vol. 303, pp. 349–380). Academic Press. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0076-6879(99)03022-0

Figueroa-Bossi, N., Balbontín, R., & Bossi, L. (2024). Mapping Transposon Insertion Sites by Inverse Polymerase Chain Reaction and Sanger Sequencing. *Cold Spring Harbor Protocols*, *2024*(5), pdb.prot108197. https://doi.org/10.1101/pdb.prot108197

Galimberti, S., Balducci, S., Guerrini, F., Del Re, M., & Cacciola, R. (2022). Digital Droplet PCR in Hematologic Malignancies: A New Useful Molecular Tool. *Diagnostics*, *12*(6), Article 6. https://doi.org/10.3390/diagnostics12061305

Gatlin, V., Gupta, S., Romero, S., Chapkin, R. S., & Cai, J. J. (2024). *Beyond Differential Expression: Embracing Cell-to-Cell Variability in Single-Cell Gene Expression Data Analysis* (p. 2024.08.08.607086). bioRxiv. https://doi.org/10.1101/2024.08.08.607086

Ghannam, M. G., & Varacallo, M. (2023). *Biochemistry, Polymerase Chain Reactio*. https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/books/NBK535453

Gines, G., Menezes, R., Xiao, W., Rondelez, Y., & Taly, V. (2020). Emerging isothermal amplification technologies for microRNA biosensing: Applications to liquid biopsies. *Molecular Aspects of Medicine*, *72*, 100832. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.mam.2019.11.002

Graf, D., Fisher, A. G., & Merkenschlager, M. (1997).

Rational primer design greatly improves differential display-PCR (DD-PCR). *Nucleic Acids Research*, *25*(11), 2239–2240. https://doi.org/10.1093/nar/25.11.2239

Hall Sedlak, R., & Jerome, K. R. (2014). The potential advantages of digital PCR for clinical virology diagnostics. *Expert Review of Molecular Diagnostics*, *14*(4), 501–507. https://doi.org/10.1586/14737159.2014.910456

Hindson, C. M., Chevillet, J. R., Briggs, H. A., Gallichotte, E. N., Ruf, I. K., Hindson, B. J., Vessella, R. L., & Tewari, M. (2013). Absolute quantification by droplet digital PCR versus analog real-time PCR. *Nature Methods*, *10*(10), 1003–1005. https://doi.org/10.1038/nmeth.2633

Hua, L. V., Green, M., Warsh, J. J., & Li, P. P. (2001). Molecular Cloning of a Novel Isoform of Diphosphoinositol Polyphosphate Phosphohydrolase: A Potential Target of Lithium Therapy. *Neuropsychopharmacology*, *24*(6), 640–651. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0893-133X(00)00233-5

Huang, F., Peng, M., Chen, X., Li, G., Di, J., Zhao, Y., Yang, L., Chang, R., & Chen, Y. (2018). cDNA-AFLP analysis of transcript derived fragments during seed development in castor bean (Ricinus communis L.). *Biotechnology & Biotechnological Equipment*, *32*(5), 1119–1125. https://doi.org/10.1080/13102818.2018.1506710

Huang, T., Yu, X., Gelbič, I., & Guan, X. (2015). RAP-PCR fingerprinting reveals time-dependent expression of development-related genes following differentiation process of Bacillus thuringiensis. *Canadian Journal of Microbiology*, *61*(9), 683–690. https://doi.org/10.1139/cjm-2015-0212

Jalali, M., Zaborowska, J., & Jalali, M. (2017). Chapter 1 - The Polymerase Chain Reaction: PCR, qPCR, and RT-PCR. In M. Jalali, F. Y. L. Saldanha, & M. Jalali (Eds.), *Basic Science Methods for Clinical Researchers* (pp. 1–18). Academic Press. https://doi.org/10.1016/B978-0-12-803077-6.00001-1

Jurecic, R., Nachtman, R. G., Colicos, S. M., & Belmont, J. W. (1998). Identification and Cloning of Differentially Expressed Genes by Long-Distance Differential Display. *Analytical Biochemistry*, *259*(2), 235–244. https://doi.org/10.1006/abio.1998.2653

Kwok, C. K., Ding, Y., Tang, Y., Assmann, S. M., & Bevilacqua, P. C. (2013). Determination of in vivo RNA structure in low-abundance transcripts. *Nature Communications*, *4*, 2971. https://doi.org/10.1038/ncomms3971

Liu, Y., Zhao, J., Peng, X., Lu, G., Shi, W., Feng, Z., Xu, H., Cui, S., Pan, Y., Zhang, D., Yang, P., & Wang, Q. (2022). Application of a ddRT-PCR to quantify seasonal influenza virus for viral isolation. *Biosafety and Health*, *4*(5), 299–302. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bsheal.2022.08.002

Lorenz, T. C. (2012). Polymerase chain reaction: Basic protocol plus troubleshooting and optimization strategies. *Journal of Visualized Experiments*, *63*, e3998. https://doi.org/10.3791/3998

Lu, Y., Wang, S. Y., & Lotz, J. M. (2004). The use of differential display to isolate viral genomic sequence for rapid development of PCR-based detection methods: A test case using Taura syndrome virus. *Journal of Virological Methods*, *121*(1), 107–114. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jviromet.2004.06.008

Matis, S., Poggi, A., & Benelli, R. (2023). microRNAs in Liquid Biopsy: The Way to a Simple and Rapid Test for Early Colorectal Cancer Diagnosis? *Cancers*, *15*(3), Article 3. https://doi.org/10.3390/cancers15030797

Moustafa, M. F., Taha, T. H., Helal, M., & Alrumman, S. A. (2016). Differential-display reverse transcription-PCR (DDRT-PCR): A new technology for molecular detection and studying one of the antagonistic factors of Bacillus endophyticus strain SA against Staphylococcus aureus (MRSA). *3 Biotech*, *6*(2), 121. https://doi.org/10.1007/s13205-016-0439-1

Niessen, K. A., Xu, M., George, D. K., Chen, M. C., Ferré-D'Amaré, A. R., Snell, E. H., Cody, V., Pace, J., Schmidt, M., & Markelz, A. G. (2019). Protein and RNA dynamical fingerprinting. *Nature Communications*, *10*(1), 1026. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-019-08926-3

Nyaruaba, R., Mwaliko, C., Kering, K. K., & Wei, H. (2019). Droplet digital PCR applications in the tuberculosis world. *Tuberculosis*, *117*, 85–92. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tube.2019.07.001

Olmedillas-López, S., García-Arranz, M., & García-Olmo, D. (2017). Current and Emerging Applications of Droplet Digital PCR in Oncology. *Molecular Diagnosis & Therapy*, *21*(5), 493–510. https://doi.org/10.1007/s40291-017-0278-8

Olmedillas-López, S., Olivera-Salazar, R., García-Arranz, M., & García-Olmo, D. (2022). Current and Emerging Applications of Droplet Digital PCR in Oncology: An Updated Review. *Molecular Diagnosis & Therapy*, *26*(1), 61–87. https://doi.org/10.1007/s40291-021-00562-2

Ouologuem, D. T., Dara, A., Kone, A., Ouattara, A., & Djimde, A. A. (2023). Plasmodium falciparum Development from Gametocyte to Oocyst: Insight from Functional Studies. *Microorganisms*, *11*(8), 1966. https://doi.org/10.3390/microorganisms11081966

Park, J., Lee, K. G., Han, D. H., Lee, J.-S., Lee, S. J., & Park, J.-K. (2021). Pushbutton-activated microfluidic dropenser for droplet digital PCR. *Biosensors and Bioelectronics*, *181*, 113159. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bios.2021.113159

Paulissen, S. M., Slubowski, C. J., Roesner, J. M., & Huang, L. S. (2016). Timely Closure of the Prospore Membrane Requires SPS1 and SPO77 in Saccharomyces cerevisiae. *Genetics*, *203*(3), 1203–1216. https://doi.org/10.1534/genetics.115.183939

Pressey, J. G., Haas, M. C., Pressey, C. S., Kelly, V. M., Parker, J. N., Gillespie, G. Y., & Friedman, G. K. (2013). CD133 Marks a Myogenically Primitive Subpopulation in Rhabdomyosarcoma Cell Lines that are Relatively Chemoresistant but Sensitive to Mutant HSV. *Pediatric Blood & Cancer*, *60*(1), 45– https://doi.org/10.1002/pbc.24117

Quan, P.-L., Sauzade, M., & Brouzes, E. (2018). dPCR: A Technology Review. *Sensors*, *18*(4), Article https://doi.org/10.3390/s18041271

Rehan, Z. (2020). Application of First-Order Differential Equation to Heat Convection in Fluid. *Journal of Applied Mathematics and Physics*, *8*(8), Article 8. https://doi.org/10.4236/jamp.2020.88111

Representational Difference Analysis of Gene Expression | Request PDF (2024). *ResearchGate*. https://www.researchgate.net/publication/10790631_Representational_Difference_Analysis_of_Gene_Expression

Rio, D. C. (2014). Reverse Transcription–Polymerase Chain

Reaction. *Cold Spring Harbor Protocols*, *2014*(11), pdb.prot080887. https://doi.org/10.1101/pdb.prot080887

Sathyanarayana, S. H., & Wainman, L. M. (2024). Chapter 2 - Laboratory approaches in molecular pathology: The polymerase chain reaction. In W. B. Coleman & G. J. Tsongalis (Eds.), *Diagnostic Molecular Pathology (Second Edition)* (pp. 13–25). Academic Press. https://doi.org/10.1016/B978-0-12-822824-1.00041-9

Scudiero, R., Motta, C. M., & Simoniello, P. (2021). Impact of Environmental Stressors on Gene Expression in the Embryo of the Italian Wall Lizard. *Applied Sciences*, *11*(11), Article 11. https://doi.org/10.3390/app11114723

Singh, V., & Ali, N. (2016). Analysis of Differential Gene Expression under Salinitythrough Differential Display Reverse Transcription PolymeraseChain Reaction (DDRTPCR) Technique: A Review. *Electronic Journal of Biology*. https://www.semanticscholar.org/paper/Analysis-of-Differential-Gene-Expression-under-A-Singh-

Sturtevant, J. (2000). Applications of differential-display reverse transcription-PCR to molecular pathogenesis and medical mycology. *Clinical Microbiology Reviews*, *13*(3), 408–427. https://doi.org/10.1128/CMR.13.3.408

Sun, Y., Tian, H., Liu, C., Yang, D., & Li, Z. (2018). A Clamp-Based One-Step Droplet Digital Reverse Transcription PCR (ddRT-PCR) for Precise Quantitation of Messenger RNA Mutation in Single Cells. *ACS Sensors*, *3*(9), 1795–1801. https://doi.org/10.1021/acssensors.8b00524

Wang, J., Zhao, H.-M., Mo, C., & Hou, X. (2019). Identification of Differentially Expressed Genes of Rice Under Cadmium Stress Using DDRT-PCR Approach. *Bulletin of Environmental Contamination and Toxicology*, *102*(4), 589–594. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00128-019-02554-9

Yeh, C.-H., Pang, J.-H. S., Wu, Y.-C., Wang, Y.-C., Chu, J.-J., & Lin, P. J. (2004). Differential-Display Polymerase Chain Reaction Identifies Nicotinamide Adenine Dinucleotide-Ubiquinone Oxidoreductase as an Ischemia/Reperfusion-Regulated Gene in Cardiomyocytes. *Chest*, *125*(1), 228–235. https://doi.org/10.1378/chest.125.1.228

Yin, J., Zou, Z., Hu, Z., Zhang, S., Zhang, F., Wang, B., Lv, S., & Mu, Y. (2020). A “sample-in-multiplex-digital-answer-out” chip for fast detection of pathogens. *Lab on a Chip*, *20*(5), 979–986. https://doi.org/10.1039/C9LC01143A